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Abstract

Increasing levels of concentration in the upstream sector of poultry supply chains have led to concerns about the influence of producers on farm-gate prices. Against this background, we employ threshold-cointegration models to study price transmission mechanisms in Pakistan’s poultry sector. We do not find evidence of asymmetric price transmission in the chicken supply chain. However, evidence of asymmetric price transmission in the egg supply chain points towards the exercise of market power by egg producers. Differences in the short-run price transmission mechanism of eggs and chicken can be traced to the underlying production and marketing activities, in particular, degree of product storability.
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Introduction

Economists have a keen interest in studying how prices adjust to the forces of supply and demand under different institutional settings because prices coordinate commercial activities in a market economy. Therefore, radical changes in the farm, processing and retail sectors of the food industry during the 21st century spurred research on price transmission mechanisms between upstream and downstream markets of agricultural supply chains. This vast literature is summarized in the survey papers of Meyer and Cramon-Taubadel (2004), Frey and Manera (2007) and Lloyd (2017).

Very briefly, the goal of vertical price transmission research is to describe the mechanisms of price adjustment between upstream and downstream markets. To this end, different econometric models are employed to estimate the magnitude, direction and speed of price adjustments along various stages of a supply chain. More precisely, economists want to determine whether there is a long-run relationship between upstream and downstream prices. Of equal importance, are questions about the “leading” price in a supply chain, i.e., are prices set in upstream or downstream markets? Economists are also interested in the direction of price transmission in supply chains and the nature of the equilibrium-adjustment path i.e., “speed” at which upstream and downstream prices adjust to deviations from the long-run equilibrium. In particular, economists are concerned about the extent to which price transmission mechanisms are symmetric, i.e., whether negative and positive deviations from the long-run equilibrium are corrected in an identical manner?

Answers to the above-mentioned questions shed light on the efficacy of market mechanisms in a supply chain. Cointegration of upstream and downstream prices in the long-run provides
evidence of well-functioning markets, whereby, economic forces ensure that upstream and downstream markets of a supply chain are interlinked in the long-run. The causal role of farm-supply or retail-demand in the long-run dynamics of prices can be inferred from the “leading” price in a supply chain. While, analysis of the direction and speed of price transmission in upstream and downstream markets sheds light on short-run price dynamics. Above all, evidence of asymmetries, either in the speed of adjustment towards the long-run equilibrium or in the magnitude of short-run adjustments to positive and negative price shocks, is often interpreted as a sign of market failures.

The empirical price transmission literature has provided important insights on the functioning of agricultural markets. Peltzman (2000) shaped the early literature by observing that downstream prices of consumer goods responded faster to increases in upstream prices than to decreases in upstream prices due to the market power of retailers in USA. Subsequent research on vertical price transmission was largely motivated by increases in the market power of food retailers around the world (Lloyd 2017). For example, Abdulai (2002) showed that increases in farm-gate pork prices in Switzerland are passed on to retail prices faster than equivalent reductions in farm-gate pork prices. Alam et al. (2016) and Korale Gedara et al. (2017) find similar types of asymmetric price transmission from upstream to downstream markets in the rice sector of Bangladesh and Sri Lanka, respectively. However, asymmetric price transmission from upstream to downstream markets is not a rule. Bakucs et al. (2014) note that asymmetric price transmission is rejected in roughly half of all price transmission studies on agricultural markets. For instance, Serra and Goodwin (2003) and Tekgüç (2013) do not find evidence of asymmetric price transmission in the milk supply chain of Spain and Turkey, respectively, despite suspicions of retailer market power.
Interestingly, and contrary to popular perception, empirical research shows that price transmission does not necessarily “flow” from upstream to downstream prices, i.e., input prices do not always drive output prices (Meyer and Cramon-Taubadel 2004). Bakucs et al. (2014) cite several studies where the dynamics of upstream prices are driven by changes in downstream prices. For example, Bakucs and Ferto (2005) find that price transmission in Hungary’s pork supply chain is symmetric with short-run and long-run causality running from retail to producer prices. Likewise, Reziti and Panagoplos (2008) paper on the Greek food chain documents symmetric transmission from retail to producer prices in fruit markets, but asymmetric price transmission in the opposite direction in vegetable markets. Answers to questions about price transmission are also influenced by attributes of the underlying commodities (degree of storability, production dynamics etc.). Ahn and Lee (2015) find that price transmission patterns are closely related to product perishability. While, Simioni et al. (2013) study the effects of uncertainties in farm-supply on price transmission. Lastly, Frey and Manera (2007) point out that findings of vertical price transmission literature are sensitive to methodological differences like type of econometric model and frequency of price data.

A review of the literature thus suggests that the nature of price transmission in a given supply chain is an empirical question that depends on the underlying commodity, institutional settings and research methodology. Against this background, our paper on price transmission mechanisms in Pakistan’s egg and chicken supply chains extends the existing literature in several directions. First, price transmission research on the poultry supply chain of Pakistan, an agrarian economy plagued by poor marketing infrastructure, fragmented supply chains and slow growth
in the retail sector, promises to offer key insights on the functioning of agricultural markets in developing countries\(^1\).

Second, the empirical literature is almost exclusively based on studies motivated by market power of retailers. And, a major focus of these studies is to determine whether estimates from price transmission models are consistent with retailer market power. However, the transformation of poultry production from small-scale, subsistence farming to large-scale commercial operations has led to concerns about market power at the upstream level of poultry supply chains\(^2\). Yet, we do not find a single case-study in the literature that attempts to study mechanisms of price transmission under conditions of alleged farmer market power. In this context, lawsuits (details in section 2) filed by the Competition Commission of Pakistan (CCP) against the Pakistan Poultry Association (PPA) for manipulation of farm-gate prices provide the ideal setting to address an important gap in the existing literature. More specifically, our paper represents the first attempt in the empirical literature that utilizes econometric models of price transmission to investigate the existence and form of market power abuse by producers in an agricultural supply chain. The empirical analysis in our paper is informed by extensive fieldwork on Pakistan’s poultry supply chains. Thus, our paper also serves as an example of the elusive “smoking-gun” case-study described by Meyer and Cramon-Taubadel (2004), whereby, antitrust litigation is examined in the light of estimates from price transmission models and knowledge of local institutional settings.

\(^1\) For sake of brevity, the word poultry supply chains in our paper refers to both chicken and egg supply chains.

\(^2\) Poultry producers in the USA were also hit by a series of antitrust lawsuits between 2016-2018 (Dewey, 2018).
Third, from a methodological standpoint, we utilize advances in nonlinear cointegration analysis to study price transmission in Pakistan’s poultry supply chains. Moreover, in light of the arguments of Frey and Manera (2007), we examine the frequency of the underlying poultry price adjustment process in Pakistan before specifying an appropriate data frequency for econometric analysis (see section 4). Accordingly, in contrast to the pervasive use of monthly price data in the existing literature, empirical analysis in our paper is based on weekly prices. Lastly, by employing identical research methods to study price transmission mechanisms of two different commodities (egg and chicken) in similar institutional settings, we are able to better understand the effect of product characteristics on the patterns of price adjustment. In particular, how degree of product storability effects short-run price transmission mechanisms under conditions of producer market power.

In summary, the combination of unique institutional settings, rigorous econometric methodology and high-frequency data characterize the novel contributions of our paper to the empirical price transmission literature. The remainder of the paper is organized as follows: we describe the unique aspects of Pakistan’s poultry industry in the following section. Next, we utilize results from the theoretical literature and findings from fieldwork on Pakistan’s poultry supply chain to motivate hypotheses about the effect of farmer market power on price transmission mechanisms. Thereafter, we examine the frequency of price adjustment process in Pakistan’s poultry markets and describe our data set. The subsequent sections outline our methodology and explain results of the estimated econometric models. We conclude with a summary of major findings.
Pakistan is the 6th most populous country in the world and is categorized as a lower middle-income economy with a GDP per capita of $1,548 (World Bank 2017). The poultry sector plays a pivotal role in Pakistan’s economy since it accounts for 1.3% of GDP, provides jobs to 1.5 million people and contributes 35% towards total meat production. Pakistan ranked amongst the top-20 poultry producing countries of the world with an estimated annual production of 1.3 million metric tons of chicken and 12.9 billion eggs in 2017 (Government of Pakistan 2017). Poultry production in Pakistan is at par with international standards, in fact, Pakistan’s breeding stock is considered to be amongst the best in the world (USDA 2010).

Organization of Supply Chain and Mechanics of Price Determination

Private-sector investment and favorable government policies have transformed Pakistan’s poultry production sector from a small-scale subsistence farming operation to a large-scale technologically advanced industry. Entry barriers created by fixed costs of specialized machinery and economies of scale have led to high levels of concentration in the upstream sector of the poultry supply chain. Pakistan’s poultry retailing and processing sectors have not experienced the structural changes witnessed in the poultry production business due to poor marketing infrastructure (particularly lack of cold storage facilities), relatively low consumer incomes and preference for freshly slaughtered chicken⁴. Consequently, the retail sector is fragmented and consists of small shops selling eggs or slaughtered chicken to consumers without any significant

---

³ As part of our fieldwork on Pakistan’s poultry supply chains, we conducted forty structured interviews with retailers, producers and commission agents, two separate focus groups with producers and regulators and several market visits.

⁴ Concerns about the halal status of chicken amongst the large Muslim population is a major reason for this preference.
value addition (no grading, packaging or storing). The processing sector is also largely missing from Pakistan’s poultry supply chain and branded eggs/chicken account for a small portion (<2%) of total sales volume.

The government plays no role in the price determination process. Instead, egg and chicken prices are determined by interactions between producers and retailers in informal poultry auction markets known as Mandis. International trade in poultry products is negligible. Mandis, typically located around major poultry production belts in northern Pakistan, remain active throughout the year as eggs and chicken are continuously produced commodities. Commission agents, present in each Mandi, have information on the demand of retailers and stock of chicken/eggs ready for sale in adjoining areas. These agents serve as intermediaries between farmers and retailers in exchange of a fixed commission. Due to lack of product differentiation, cold-storage facilities and contracted production, price negotiations typically revolve around the prevailing supply/demand situation in regional Mandis. Networks of commission agents and arbitrageurs, abetted by good transportation infrastructure and mobile phone networks, ensure that information on regional demand/supply conditions is aggregated into poultry prices across different Mandis.

**Market Power of Poultry Producers and Antitrust Litigation**

Basic economic principles suggest that concentration leads to market power, hence, regulators have raised concerns about the influence of poultry producers on farm-gate prices in Pakistan. The Competition Commission of Pakistan (CCP) initiated antitrust proceedings against the Pakistan Poultry Association (PPA) in 2010 following reports of price manipulation in popular
press\textsuperscript{5}. As part of their enquiry, CCP conducted several raids and claimed to have found evidence of tacit collusion in confidential documents seized from PPA’s regional offices. Accordingly, a fine of Rs. 50 million ($577,861) was levied on PPA for violating Pakistan’s competition laws\textsuperscript{6}. Poultry producers denied CCP’s charges. They argued that PPA served as a platform for disseminating farm-gate prices emerging from supply/demand conditions and the perishability of poultry products along with the scale of poultry production in Pakistan made fixing poultry prices practically impossible. Subsequently, poultry producers obtained a “stay-order” from Pakistan’s High Court and were able to avoid CCP’s stipulated penalty. In 2016, CCP served another show-cause notice to poultry producers for allegedly engaging in anti-competitive behavior and imposed a fine of Rs. 100 million ($1,086,957) on PPA. Once again, poultry producers challenged CCP’s decree in the High Court on technical grounds. At present, CCP’s legal proceedings against PPA linger on in Pakistan’s courts with no clear resolution in sight.

\textbf{Conceptual Framework – Asymmetric Price Transmission and Market Power}

Theoretical research has shown that imbalances between the bargaining powers of agents in a supply chain leads to asymmetric price transmission because agents with market power are able to delay price adjustments that diminish their profits and vice versa (Meyer and Cramon-Taubadel, 2004). But, the type of asymmetries depends on the specific institutional settings (Bakucs et al. 2014). For example, McCorriston et al. (1998) prove that increases in farm-gate

\textsuperscript{5} PPA is an umbrella organization representing the interests of poultry producers in Pakistan at a national level.

\textsuperscript{6} Conversion of the penalties into USD is based on the average exchange rate during the mentioned year.
prices are passed on to consumers more fully than decreases in farm-gate prices if retailers have market power. And, Assefa et al. (2014) show that the nature of asymmetric price transmission in an agricultural supply chain is determined by the relative bargaining powers of retailers and farmers. Adjustment costs are another explanation for asymmetric price transmission, i.e., differences in costs of activities (e.g., relabeling, advertising etc.) associated with increasing and decreasing prices. For instance, retail prices are “sticky” and slowly adjust to price shocks due to “menu” costs (Frey and Manera 2007). Other plausible, but less common, explanations for asymmetric price transmission include: government interventions, inventory management methods and information asymmetries.

Market power is generally viewed as the primary cause of asymmetric price transmission in the literature. And, findings of asymmetric price transmission in empirical research are typically attributed to market power, even though, reduced-form econometric models employed in the existing literature cannot explicitly identify the underlying cause of asymmetric price transmission (Lloyd 2017). Nevertheless, despite the lack of causal inference in price transmission studies, there is a broad consensus amongst economists about the importance of price transmission analysis in identifying strategic behavior of agents in a supply chain (Meyer and Cramon-Taubadel 2004). Besides, limited availability of data (beyond market prices) means that it is often not possible to estimate elaborate structural models capable of differentiating between competing theories of asymmetric price transmission. Thus, researchers have to rely on anecdotal evidence or knowledge of institutional settings to rule out alternative explanations for asymmetric price transmission.

_Hypothesis Development_
The structure of poultry supply chains in Pakistan points towards a widening gulf between the bargaining powers of producers and retailers. However, high levels of concentration in upstream poultry markets are not sufficient to prove price manipulation. And, CCP has not been able to find concrete evidence of manipulation in farm-gate prices due to lack of proper documentation in Pakistan’s poultry markets. Under these circumstances, price transmission analysis offers a viable path towards resolving CCP’s antitrust lawsuits against PPA. The theoretical literature has shown that agents with market power in a supply chain influence the transmission of price shocks between upstream and downstream markets to increase their profits. Hence, in the context of Pakistan’s poultry supply chains, estimates of the speed with which farm-gate prices adjust to positive and negative deviations from the long-run equilibrium can provide important insights about the alleged manipulation of farm-gate prices by producers. More precisely, if poultry producers are guilty of market power abuse, then positive shocks to farm-gate prices would tend to persist, while, negative shocks to farm-gate prices would tend to quickly revert to the long-run equilibrium. It is reasonable to assume that empirical evidence of this type of asymmetric price transmission is caused by the market power of poultry producers. Because, the mechanics of poultry price adjustments in Pakistan suggest that adjustment or “menu” costs are not significant. Lack of cold-storage facilities imply that explanations based on inventory management are not relevant. Agents in Mandis have no market power and simply work for retailers/producers on the basis of a fixed commission. And, government interventions in Pakistan’s poultry markets are very rare.

**Data**

Data frequency plays a key role in price transmission analysis because estimates from econometric models of price transmission are misleading if there is a mismatch between the
frequency of the underlying commodity’s price adjustment process and the frequency of available price data (Frey and Manera, 2007). Meyer and Cramon-Taubadel (2004) note that coefficients of error-correction models estimated from monthly price data are artificially inflated if the underlying commodity’s price adjustment process follows a weekly frequency. Likewise, Cramon-Taubadel and Loy (1996) find that contemporaneous relationships gain importance over lagged relationships if the frequency of price data is lower than the frequency of the underlying commodity’s price adjustment process. Yet, despite the adverse effects of an inappropriate data frequency on price transmission analysis, issues pertaining to data frequency are often overlooked in the extant literature. In order to address this shortcoming, we study the nature of the price adjustment process in Pakistan’s poultry markets before specifying an appropriate data frequency for our price transmission analysis.

The nature of poultry production limits the efficacy of a monthly frequency because supply-side dynamics arising from the relatively short duration of the poultry production cycle are obscured (due to aggregation) in monthly price data. Whereas, anecdotal evidence on the mechanics of price discovery in Pakistan’s poultry markets suggests that new information on prices does not arrive at a daily frequency. This is reflected in the daily price data, whereby, we observe variations in farm-gate prices within a given week but typically no change in farm-gate prices between consecutive days7. Presumably, in the absence of a commodity exchange for poultry

7 There is no change in farm-gate prices between consecutive days in 80% of the egg price data and 40% of the chicken price data. From a technical standpoint, in this case, estimates of price transmission models based on daily data would have large standard errors due to low levels of variation in the regressors. Moreover, fitting price transmission models on daily data is also problematic due to a significant increase in the number of parameters to be estimated.
products, a few days pass before new information related to supply/demand conditions is incorporated into poultry prices in Pakistan. A closer look at the data reveals a predominantly weekly price adjustment process in Pakistan’s poultry markets. Theoretical models of poultry price dynamics in developing countries are also based on a weekly frequency, as opposed to a monthly or daily frequency (Chaudhry and Miranda, 2018). Therefore, in light of the aforementioned arguments, our analysis of price transmission mechanisms in Pakistan’s poultry supply chain is based on weekly prices.

Retail prices were retrieved from the Pakistan Bureau of Statistics (PBS) database. PBS undertakes a comprehensive survey of Pakistan’s retail markets and publishes the average retail prices of chicken and eggs in its weekly report. The corresponding farm-gate prices were sourced from the Pakistan Poultry Association (PPA). PPA records the average market-clearing farm-gate prices of chicken and eggs across different Mandis in Pakistan on a daily basis. Daily farm-gate prices were converted to a weekly frequency by taking an arithmetic average over PBS’s weekly reporting cycle of retail prices. The final dataset, spanning from July 2008 to June 2015, consists of 363 weekly observations on farm-gate and retail prices of chicken and eggs.

Line-plots of egg (left-panel) and chicken (right-panel) prices in figure 1 highlight the dynamics of poultry prices in Pakistan. First, comovement of retail prices (dotted-line) and farm-gate prices (solid-line) in the long-run points towards integration of upstream and downstream markets in the egg and chicken supply chains. Second, poultry prices depict cyclical short-run dynamics and fluctuations in chicken prices are visibly larger than fluctuations in egg prices. Third, margins of retailers in the egg supply chain are considerably lower than margins of
retailers in the chicken supply chain, i.e., average retailer margin for chicken is 20.1% compared to 6.7% for eggs.

**Econometric Methodology**

Our econometric analysis follows the methodology outlined in the survey articles of Meyer and Cramon-Taubadel (2004) and Frey and Manera (2007). First, we undertake unit root analysis to ascertain the order of integration of each price series. Second, we use the Johansen (1995) cointegration test and the two-step Engle and Granger (1987) approach to determine whether farm-gate and retail prices are cointegrated. Third, we employ TAR and M-TAR models to account for non-linearities in the long-run relationship between farm-gate and retail prices. Threshold values in the consistent TAR and M-TAR models are obtained via grid-search methods. Each cointegration model is estimated via Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) and information criterion is used to select an appropriate model. Next, statistical tests are conducted to examine the nature of asymmetries in the long-run relationship between farm-gate and retail prices. Fourth, we estimate the associated vector error-correction model to study short-run dynamics of egg and chicken prices in Pakistan.

**Analysis of Unit Roots and Cointegration**

We employ the Augmented Dicky Fuller (ADF) and the Dicky Fuller-Generalized Least Squares (DF-GLS) tests to check for unit roots and find the order of integration of weekly prices. The DF-GLS test, developed by Elliott et al. (1996), is a modified version of the ADF test applied to GLS-detrended data. The literature has found the DF-GLS test to possess higher power in detecting unit roots compared to the ADF test (Ng and Perron 2001). For sake of brevity,
technical details of unit roots analysis are relegated to Appendix A. The results of unit root tests in table A1 reveal that each price series has a unit root and is integrated of order 1 i.e., I(1).

Cointegration refers to the tendency of non-stationary variables to move together over the long-run, despite drifting apart in the short-run, due to linkages between the stochastic data generating process underlying each non-stationary variable (Hendry and Juselius 2001). As a starting point, we use the Johansen (1995) cointegration test to determine whether there is a long-run relationship between farm-gate and retail prices in Pakistan’s poultry supply chains. Results of the Johansen (1995) cointegration test, provided in panel A of table B1, clearly show that farm-gate and retail prices in Pakistan’s chicken and egg supply chains are cointegrated.

Engle and Granger (1987) developed another two-step procedure to test for cointegration between non-stationary variables. The first step involves estimating the long-run (i.e., cointegrating) relationship between two I(1) variables via OLS. In the second step, unit root tests are employed to determine whether residuals of this long-run relationship are stationary. They showed that the underlying variables are cointegrated if the estimated residuals are stationary and vice versa. Following their methodology, we apply unit root tests to residuals estimated from the cointegrating regression between farm-gate ($P_f$) and retail ($P_r$) prices in the chicken and egg supply chain:

\[
\hat{\mu}_t = P_f^t - \hat{\alpha} - \hat{\beta} P_r^t
\]

In consideration of our research question (i.e., effect of farmer market power on price transmission mechanisms), we normalize residuals of the cointegrating equation to farm-gate
prices instead of retail prices\(^8\). Doing so allows us to interpret evidence of asymmetric price transmission as a sign of exercise of market power by poultry producers (see section 3). This normalization is also necessary from a time-series modelling perspective because retail prices were found to be weakly exogenous (see table 5) and econometric theory dictates that the weakly exogenous variable be used as the independent variable in the cointegrating regression to avoid specification errors (Hendry and Juselius 2001). Results of unit root tests applied to residuals (\(\hat{\mu}_t\)) of the cointegrating regression defined in equation 1 are provided in Panel B of table B1. It is easy to see that residuals of both cointegrating regressions are stationary, hence, farm-gate and retail prices in Pakistan’s egg and chicken supply chains are cointegrated according to the Engle-Granger (1987) test\(^9\).

**Nonlinear Cointegration Analysis**

Enders and Granger (1998) showed that the cointegration tests of Johansen (1995) and Engle and Granger (1987) perform poorly if the long-run relationship between the underlying variables is not symmetric, i.e., positive and negative deviations from the long-run equilibrium are not corrected in an identical manner. Therefore, Enders and Siklos (2001) developed an alternative approach based on threshold autoregressive (TAR) and momentum-threshold autoregressive (M-

---

\(^8\) Although, a convention in the price transmission literature, the use of downstream prices as the dependent variable in the cointegrating equation lacks a sound basis. In fact, price transmission research (especially in developing countries) has shown that upstream prices are often determined by downstream prices (Bakucs et. al 2014).

\(^9\) Changing the order of variables in the cointegrating regressions did not affect the conclusions of the tests of cointegration. However, statistical properties of the underlying model are compromised (especially the associated VECM) if the weakly exogenous variable is not used as the independent variable in the cointegrating regression.
TAR) models to test for cointegration without assuming symmetric adjustment towards the equilibrium. Following the recent empirical price transmission literature (Korale Gedara et al. 2017; Simioni et al. 2013 and Tekgüç 2013), we adopt Enders and Siklos (2001) two-regime threshold cointegration model to test for asymmetric adjustment in the long-run equilibrium relationship between farm-gate and retail prices in Pakistan’s egg and chicken supply chains.

TAR and M-TAR Cointegration Models

TAR and M-TAR models are piecewise-linear models that account for the asymmetric adjustment of positive and negative deviations from the long-run equilibrium. Cointegration tests based on the TAR and M-TAR models are grounded in the two-step Engle and Granger (1987) approach. Let \( \hat{\mu}_t \) denote the residuals estimated from the long-run relationship between farm-gate and retail prices in the first-step of Engle and Granger (1987) procedure (see equation 1). The corresponding TAR model is given by:

\[
\Delta \hat{\mu}_t = \rho_1 I_t \hat{\mu}_{t-1} + \rho_2 (1 - I_t) \hat{\mu}_{t-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \gamma_j \Delta \hat{\mu}_{t-j} + \epsilon_t
\]

\[
s.t. \quad I_t = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } \hat{\mu}_{t-1} \geq \tau \\
0 & \text{if } \hat{\mu}_{t-1} < \tau
\end{cases}
\]

The indicator variable \( (I_t) \) in the TAR model allows for asymmetric adjustment towards the long-run equilibrium depending on the “size” of deviations. Estimates of \( \rho_1 \) and \( \rho_2 \) denote the speed at which the variables adjust to the equilibrium following a positive or negative shock, respectively. Enders and Siklos (2001) proposed the M-TAR specification for modelling asymmetrically sharp movements in the data, whereby, adjustment towards the equilibrium depends on the “momentum” of deviations and not the “size” of deviations. Accordingly, the
indicator variable \((l_t)\) in the M-TAR model is a function of the change in deviations from the equilibrium instead of the level of deviations from the equilibrium as in the TAR model. They showed that M-TAR models perform better than TAR models if prices display more momentum in one direction, e.g., increases that tend to persist and decreases that tend to revert to the equilibrium. The M-TAR model is given by:

\[
\Delta \hat{\mu}_t = \rho_1 l_t \hat{\mu}_{t-1} + \rho_2 (1 - l_t) \hat{\mu}_{t-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \gamma_j \Delta \hat{\mu}_{t-j} + \epsilon_t
\]

s.t

\[
l_t = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } \Delta \hat{\mu}_{t-1} \geq \tau \\
0 & \text{if } \Delta \hat{\mu}_{t-1} < \tau 
\end{cases}
\]

TAR and M-TAR can be consistently estimated via OLS. Akaike information criterion (AIC) is used to determine the optimal number of lags of the dependent variable in each model and the threshold value \((\tau)\) is assumed to equal zero i.e., mean of the residuals of the cointegrating regression. Rejection of the null hypothesis of no cointegration \((\rho_1 = \rho_2 = 0)\) provides evidence of a long-run relationship between the price series. The F-statistic for the null hypothesis \(\rho_1 = \rho_2 = 0\) does not follow a standard distribution under the TAR and M-TAR models, hence, adjusted critical values tabulated in Enders and Siklos (2001) are used for statistical inference. If the price series are found to be cointegrated, then the standard F-test can be used to evaluate the null hypothesis of symmetric adjustment, i.e., \(\rho_1 = \rho_2\). Rejection of this null hypothesis provides evidence of asymmetries in the long-run relationship between farm-gate and retail prices.

**Consistent TAR and M-TAR Cointegration Models**

One limitation of TAR and M-TAR models is that the mean of the residuals of the cointegrating regression may not be an unbiased estimate of the threshold \((\tau)\) if the adjustment process is
asymmetric (Enders and Siklos 2001). Hence, the threshold value is estimated in consistent TAR and M-TAR models. Chan (1993) showed that grid-search methods yield a super-consistent estimate of the threshold \( \tau \). Applying this approach, we first sort the threshold variable \( \hat{\tau}_{t-1} \) in ascending order. Next, the smallest and largest values of the threshold variable are excluded from the set of potential threshold values to ensure an adequate number of observations in each regime of the TAR/M-TAR models. Following Balcombe et al. (2007), we use the middle 60\% values of the sorted threshold variable as potential threshold values. The TAR and M-TAR models are estimated for each potential threshold value and a grid-search algorithm is used to identify the value of the threshold variable that minimizes the sum of squared residuals \( \bar{e}_t \) in each model. Once the optimal threshold \( \hat{\tau} \) is determined, each model is re-estimated with the optimal threshold value taken as given due to the super-convergence property of the estimator, and the usual statistical analysis is carried out.

\[
\Delta \hat{\mu}_t = \rho_1 I_t \hat{\mu}_{t-1} + \rho_2 (1 - I_t) \hat{\mu}_{t-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \gamma_j \Delta \hat{\mu}_{t-j} + \varepsilon_t
\]

\[
s.t \quad I_t = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } \hat{\mu}_{t-1} \geq \hat{\tau} \\
0 & \text{if } \hat{\mu}_{t-1} < \hat{\tau} 
\end{cases}
\]

Consistent TAR (4) and consistent M-TAR (5) models are employed together with TAR (2) and M-TAR (3) models to examine the relationship between upstream and downstream prices in Pakistan. Determining which nonlinear cointegration model captures the long-run equilibrium relationship between the underlying variables is an empirical question and information criterion is used to identify the model that best fits the actual price data (Enders and Siklos 2001).

\[
\Delta \hat{\mu}_t = \rho_1 I_t \hat{\mu}_{t-1} + \rho_2 (1 - I_t) \hat{\mu}_{t-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \gamma_j \Delta \hat{\mu}_{t-j} + \varepsilon_t
\]
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s.t

\[ I_t = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } \Delta \hat{\mu}_{t-1} \geq \hat{\tau} \\
0 & \text{if } \Delta \hat{\mu}_{t-1} < \hat{\tau} 
\end{cases} \]

*Vector Error Correction Model*

According to the Engle-Granger Representation Theorem, short-run dynamics of cointegrated variables can be studied by means of a vector error-correction model (VECM). In a VECM, changes in the values of an I(1) variable at any point in time are determined by deviations from the long-run equilibrium in the previous period and changes in the past values of all variables in the system. Therefore, in addition to the dynamic effects of other variables in the system, a VECM captures how each variable adjusts towards the long-run equilibrium following any short-run disequilibria. Estimates from a VECM provide important insights about the short-run mechanisms of price transmission. First, weak exogeneity tests identify the “leading” price in a supply chain. Second, Granger causality tests reveal the direction of information feedback between upstream and downstream markets. Third, coefficients of error correction terms highlight asymmetries in the speed of adjustment towards the long-run equilibrium following a positive or negative shock.

We estimate separate VECMs for the egg and chicken supply chains of Pakistan. Following the price transmission literature, we break down changes in farm-gate prices, retail prices and deviations from the long-run equilibrium into positive and negative components in each VECM to focus on asymmetries in the short-run price dynamics. Error correction terms (i.e., ECT) in both VECMs are constructed from the nonlinear cointegration model that best fits the actual price data. Whereby, ECT below the estimated threshold represents negative deviations of farm-gate from the long-run equilibrium and ECT above the estimated threshold denotes positive
deviations of farm-gate prices from the long-run equilibrium. Akaike information criterion (AIC) is used to select the optimal number of lags and specification of deterministic components is based on the Pantula (1989) principle. The general specification of a VECM for price transmission analysis is given by:

\[
\Delta P^f_t = c^1 + \varphi^{1+}ECT^+_t-1 + \varphi^{1-}ECT^-_t-1 + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \phi_{j,1}^1 \Delta P^f_{t-j} + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \phi_{j,1}^1 \Delta P^r_{t-j} + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \phi_{j,1}^2 \Delta P^r_{t-j} \\
+ \sum_{j=1}^{k} \phi_{j,1}^2 \Delta P^r_{t-j} + \epsilon^1_t
\]

(6)

\[
\Delta P^r_t = c^2 + \varphi^{2+}ECT^+_t-1 + \varphi^{2-}ECT^-_t-1 + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \phi_{j,2}^1 \Delta P^r_{t-j} + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \phi_{j,2}^1 \Delta P^r_{t-j} + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \phi_{j,2}^2 \Delta P^r_{t-j} \\
+ \sum_{j=1}^{k} \phi_{j,2}^2 \Delta P^r_{t-j} + \epsilon^2_t
\]

**Empirical Estimates**

*Nonlinear Cointegration Models*

Estimates of the nonlinear cointegration models for egg prices are reported in table 1. A specification with no lagged difference of residuals (\(\Delta \hat{\mu}_{t-j}\)) in the cointegration model best fits the egg price data according to information criterion. The null hypothesis of no cointegration \((\rho_1 = \rho_2 = 0)\) between farm-gate and retail prices is rejected at the 1% significance level in all models. The long-run relationship between farm-gate and retail prices in the egg supply chain follows the M-TAR model as the value of AIC is minimized in the consistent M-TAR model and subsequent statistical analysis of egg prices focuses on estimates from the consistent M-TAR model.
Estimates of $\rho_2$ are considerably larger than $\rho_1$ in all models. Differences between the speeds of adjustment to positive and negative shocks are statistically significant, i.e., the null hypothesis of symmetric adjustment ($\rho_1 = \rho_2$) is rejected in the consistent M-TAR model at the 1% significance level. This shows that positive deviations ($\rho_1$) of farm-gate prices from the long-run equilibrium are relatively slowly corrected compared to negative deviations ($\rho_2$) of farm-gate prices from the long-run equilibrium. The asymmetries in the cointegrating relationship are consistent with the exercise of market power at the upstream level of the egg supply chain. Producers with market power are able to sustain farm-gate prices above the long-run equilibrium for a relatively longer period of time whilst ensuring that negative deviations of farm-gate prices from the long-run equilibrium are quickly eliminated. More specifically, estimates from the consistent M-TAR model imply that approximately 37% of a unit negative shock from the long-run equilibrium is eliminated within one week compared to only 18% in the case of a unit positive shock. Moreover, in the context of M-TAR models, the results show that the long-run price adjustment process between upstream and downstream prices displays lopsided momentum such that increases in upstream prices above the long-run equilibrium tend to persist but decreases in upstream prices below the long-run equilibrium are quickly reversed. Both these findings lend support to the claims of CCP regarding the exercise of market power by producers in the egg supply chain.

Estimates of nonlinear cointegration models for chicken prices are given in table 2. A specification with one lagged difference of residuals ($\Delta \hat{\mu}_{t-j}$) in the cointegration model best fits the chicken price data according to information criterion. The null hypothesis of no cointegration
between farm-gate and retail prices is rejected at the 1% level. The consistent M-TAR model is our preferred specification for chicken prices since it yields the minimum value of AIC. We do not find evidence of asymmetries in the long-run relationship between upstream and downstream prices in the chicken supply chain because differences between the speeds of adjustment for positive and negative shocks are not statistically significant, i.e., the null hypothesis of symmetric adjustment cannot be rejected at conventional levels of statistical significance (p-value 0.21).

{Insert table 2 here}

Vector Error Correction Models (VECM)

Estimates of VECMs in table 3 are based on consistent M-TAR model (i.e., cointegration model that best fits the actual price data). Selection of an optimal lag length of one week in both VECMs strengthens arguments about the importance of high frequency data in price transmission analysis. VECMs for egg and chicken prices are well-specified as evidenced by the negative and statistically significant coefficients of error-correction terms\(^{10}\). And, results of the Q-test show that residuals of both VECMs are not serially correlated.

VECM for Egg Prices

Estimates of the VECM for egg prices are reported in the left panel of table 3 with dependent variables in the columns and independent variables in the rows. First, coefficients of the error correction terms (\(ECT_{t-1}^+\) and \(ECT_{t-1}^-\)) in the retail price equation are not statistically significant,

\[^{10}\text{In a well-specified VECM adjustment coefficients in at least one equation are negative and statistically significant.}\]
i.e., F-test for joint insignificance yields a p-value of 0.87. Thus, retail prices are weakly
exogenous in the long-run and serve as the “leading” price in the egg supply chain. Second,
Granger causality tests show that retail prices drive farm-gate prices in the short-run since lagged
changes of farm-gate prices in the retail price equation are not statistically significant but lagged
changes of retail prices in the farm-gate price equation are highly significant. Taken together,
these results point towards the strong exogeneity of retail prices in Pakistan’s egg supply chain.
Hence, the dynamics of egg prices in Pakistan are essentially determined by shocks at the
downstream level of the supply chain. Third, consistent with the results of nonlinear
cointegration models, the null hypothesis of symmetric adjustment of farm-gate prices towards
the long-run equilibrium is rejected in the VECM. And, estimates of error-correction terms in the
farm-gate equation clearly show that the speed of adjustment for deviations below the long-run
equilibrium is significantly greater than the speed of adjustment for deviations above the long-
run equilibrium.

VECM for Chicken Prices

Estimates of the VECM for chicken prices are given in the right panel of table 3. First, retail
prices enter the cointegration space as a weakly exogenous variable, i.e., F-test for joint
insignificance of error-correction terms in the retail price equation yields a p-value of 0.11. Thus,
we conclude that retail prices serve as the “leading” price in the chicken supply. Second, lagged
changes of farm-gate prices are statistically significant in the retail price equation and lagged
changes of retail prices are also statistically significant in the farm-gate price equation. This
points towards bidirectional Granger-causality between retail and farm-gate prices in the chicken
supply chain. Third, the null hypothesis of symmetric speed of adjustment of farm-gate prices towards the long-run equilibrium is rejected, albeit only marginally (p-value = 0.08). Analysis of the distributed-lag effect reveals strategic behavior of retailers vis-à-vis consumers in the short run, i.e., increases in farm-gate prices are more fully transmitted to retail prices relative to decreases in farm-gate prices.

Discussion of Results

Estimates from the long and short-run models of price transmission provide a clear picture of the price adjustment mechanisms in Pakistan’s chicken and egg supply chains. First, estimates from cointegration models clearly show that farm-gate and retail prices move together over the long-run in both supply chains. Second, results of weak exogeneity tests from VECMs reveal that the long-run dynamics of chicken and egg prices in Pakistan are driven by shocks originating from downstream markets, i.e., upstream markets adjust to price signals emanating from downstream markets. The unidirectional causality from downstream markets to upstream markets in the long-run implies that changes in retail-demand effect retail prices and hence farm-gate prices. However, changes in farm-supply only lead to short-run disequilibria and do not effect retail prices in the long-run. These results are consistent with the empirical literature on price transmission in developing countries. For example, Bakucs and Ferto (2005) document the weak exogeneity of retail prices in the pork industry of Hungary. Sapkota et al., (2015) also find that retail prices are weakly exogenous in Bangladesh’s fish supply chains. Intuitively, the derived

11 This validates our approach of using retail prices as the independent variable in the cointegrating regression.
(as opposed to primary) nature of demand for agricultural products explains the importance of retail markets in the long-run dynamics of agricultural prices in developing countries.

Third, Granger-causality tests reveal unidirectional causality from retail to farm-gate prices in the egg supply chain, but bidirectional causality between retail and farm-gate prices in the chicken supply chain. In other words, the short-run dynamics of egg prices are driven by retail-demand, while short-run dynamics of chicken prices emerge from the interaction of farm-supply and retail-demand. These differences can be explained by the biological processes underlying commercial production of eggs and chickens. The relatively longer life cycle of layer hens results in a stable productive stock and hence a steady short-run supply of eggs\textsuperscript{12}. Besides, eggs can be stored for up to 2 months. Thus, short-run fluctuations in egg prices are primarily caused by retail-demand shocks. However, the relatively shorter life cycle of broilers results in an unstable productive stock and hence a volatile short-run supply of broilers\textsuperscript{13}. Moreover, in the absence of a frozen-bird market, even small shocks to farm-supply or retail demand lead to an imbalance between the supply and demand of chicken, causing large fluctuations in chicken prices. Thus, we observe bidirectional causality between farm-gate and retail prices in the chicken supply chain.

\textsuperscript{12} Eggs are produced by layer hens. In general, a layer hen enters egg production when it is 21 weeks old and continues to lay 3-4 eggs per week (on average) until it is culled at an age of about 72 weeks.

\textsuperscript{13} Chicks hatch from fertilized eggs after an incubation period of 3 weeks and are subsequently raised into broilers after another 6-7 weeks. These broilers are sold in live-bird markets as soon as they reach maturity due to lack of cold storage facilities and consumer preferences for freshly slaughtered chicken in Pakistan.
Fourth, given that asymmetric price transmission is indicative of market power, estimates of adjustment parameters from nonlinear cointegration models and the associated VECM clearly point towards the exercise of market power by egg producers in Pakistan. Whereby, negative deviations of farm-gate egg prices from the long-run equilibrium are corrected more quickly compared to positive deviations of farm-gate egg prices from the long-run equilibrium. These findings are consistent with the industrial organization of egg supply chain in Pakistan, i.e., large, organized producers and small, fragmented retailers. However, we do not find statistically significant evidence of asymmetric price transmission in the chicken supply chain. Stylized facts of the price data and anecdotal evidence supports this conclusion, i.e., egg producers are guilty of market power abuse. For example, concentration ratios in the upstream sector of the egg supply chain are relatively higher than concentration ratios in the upstream sector of the chicken supply chain. Likewise, the significantly lower margins of retailers (6.7% on average) in the egg supply chain compared to margins of retailers (20.1% on average) in the chicken supply chain also point towards the exercise of market power by egg producers over retailers. Furthermore, we observe considerably less variation in egg prices over time compared to chicken prices (see figure 1) and it is well known that sticky prices are a feature of oligopolistic markets.

Differences in the attributes of both commodities offer a plausible explanation for producers being able to exercise market power in the egg supply chain but not in the chicken supply chain, despite similarities in the industrial organization of both supply chains. The perishability of broiler chicken reduces the bargaining power of producers over retailers because producers are eager to sell their mature stocks as soon as possible due to the high risks of mortality in broilers after maturity. As a result, producers are unable to influence farm-gate prices by exercising their (perceived) market power in the chicken supply chain. On the contrary, storability of eggs
provides producers in the egg supply chain latitude to favorably influence farm-gate prices by exerting their market power over retailers, e.g., by “holding” supply. Availability of close substitutes for chicken (e.g., beef, lamb, fish etc.) also diminishes the market power of producers in the chicken supply chain, while the market power of producers in the egg supply chain is reinforced by the lack of close substitutes for eggs. Therefore, one may argue that characteristics of the underlying commodities moderate the effect of producer market power on price transmission mechanisms.

Conclusion

In this paper, we have studied the mechanisms of price transmission in Pakistan’s egg and chicken supply chains. In doing so, we highlight the importance of price transmission analysis in unravelling regulatory lawsuits on the alleged abuse of market power by producers in agricultural markets. Thus, our paper serves as a prototype of the elusive “smoking-gun” case-study described in Meyer and Cramon-Taubadel (2004) influential survey article on price transmission research.

In summary, we find that upstream and downstream markets in Pakistan’s poultry supply chains are integrated. We find strong evidence of asymmetric price transmission in the egg supply chain that is consistent with the claims of CCP regarding the exercise of market power by egg producers. We do not find sufficient statistical evidence to accept CCP’s claim that producers exercise market power in the chicken supply chain. Differences between the short-run dynamics of chicken and egg prices can be traced to the underlying production and marketing activities in each supply chain. From a modelling perspective, our paper highlights the importance of
specification tests for weak exogeneity, high frequency data and incorporating nonlinearities in price transmission analysis.

From a policy perspective, our findings highlight important differences between the functioning of agricultural markets in developed and developing countries. For instance, contrary to traditional models of industrial organization, we find that the long-run dynamics of egg and chicken prices are largely determined by downstream markets in Pakistan. Similarly, we find evidence of market power at the upstream level of egg supply chains in Pakistan, whereas price transmission research on developed countries has generally found evidence of market power at the downstream level of agricultural supply chains. Therefore, we need to revisit existing theoretical models in order to better understand the effects of the unique institutional features of agricultural supply chains in developing countries on price transmission mechanisms.
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